MADANAPALLE INSTITUTE OF TECHNOLOGY & SCIENCE

(UGC-AUTONOMOUS)
Affiliated to JNTUA, Ananthapuramu & Approved by AICTE, New Delhi
NAAC Accredited with A+ Grade, 1 - Band: 201-250 (Engg.)
NBA Accredited - B.Tech. (CIVIL, CSE, ECE, EEE, MECH), MBA & MCA

## DEPARTMENT OF COMPUTER SCIENCE AND ENGINEERING (CYBER SECURITY)

Feedback on curriculum,Teachning & Learning from Students Academic Year 2022-23
Summary Sheet

Number of respondents
82

Q. No	Question	Attainment
1	The syllabus of each course was	82.92
2	The depth of the course content is adequate in relation to the expected course outcomes(COs)	74.63
3	Opinion about library/textbooks/E-learning resources and facilities on the course	80.24
4	Knowledge/Skills acquired from theory/practical/project work to meet industry needs	76.82
5	Syllabus coverage with proper sequencing	78.29
6	Syllabus equipped with necessary tehnical skills to meet industrial challenges	78.04
7	Relevance of course contents in the program of study	76.09
8	Process of internal & External Assessment	77.07
9	Provision for self learning/project based learning	77.80

HoD CSE (CS)

FEEDBACK ON CURRICULUM,TEACHNING & LEARNING FROM STUDENTS ACADEMIC YEAR 2022-2023

- 9 — 11%
- 1 — 12%
- 2 — 11%
- 3 — 11%
- 4 — 11%
- 5 — 11%
- 6 — 11%
- 7 — 11%
- 8 — 11%

MADANAPALLE INSTITUTE OF TECHNOLOGY & SCIENCE

(UGC-AUTONOMOUS)

Affiliated to JNTUA, Ananthapuramu & Approved by AICTE, New Delhi
NAAC Accredited with A+ Grade, 1 - Band: 201-250 (Engg.)
NBA Accredited - B.Tech. (CIVIL, CSE, ECE, EEE, MECH), MBA & MCA

DEPARTMENT OF COMPUTER SCIENCE AND ENGINEERING (CYBER SECURITY)
Feedback on curriculum,Teachning & Learning from Students Academic Year 2022-23

Number of respondents

82

1

The syllabus of each course was	Count	Percentage
More than adequate	32	39.02%
Adequate	35	42.68%
Inadequate	11	13.41%
Challenging	3	3.66%
Dull	1	1.22%

The syllabus of each course was

Challenging, 3, 4%
Dull, 1, 1%
Inadequate, 11, 13%
More than adequate, 32, 39%
Adequate, 35, 43%

2 The depth of the course content is adequate in relation to the expected course outcomes(CO S)

	Count	Percentage
Excellent	16	19.51%
Very Good	36	43.90%
Good	24	29.27%
Average	4	4.88%
Poor	2	2.44%

The depth of the course content is adequate in relation to the expected course outcomes(CO S)

Poor, 2, 2%
Average, 4, 5%
Excellent, 16, 20%
Good, 24, 29%
Very Good, 36, 44%

3 Opinion about library/textbooks/E-learning resources and facilities on the course

	Count	Percentage
Exceptional	28	34.15%
More than adequ	35	42.68%
Adequate	14	17.07%
Inadequate	2	2.44%
Poor	3	3.66%

Opinion about library/textbooks/E-learning resources and facilities on the course

Inadequate, 2, 2%
Poor, 3, 4%
Adequate, 14, 17%
Exceptional, 28, 34%
More than adequate, 35, 43%

4 **Knowledge/Skills acquired from theory/practical/project work to meet industry needs**

	Count	Percentage
Excellent	19	23.17%
Good	35	42.68%
Average	25	30.49%
Poor	2	2.44%
Very Poor	1	1.22%

Knowledge/Skills acquired from theory/practical/project work to meet industry needs

Poor, 2, 2%
Very Poor, 1, 1%
Excellent, 19, 23%
Average, 25, 31%
Good, 35, 43%

Syllabus coverage with proper sequencing

	Count	Percentage
90% to 100%	23	28.05%
80% to 90%	35	42.68%
70% to 80%	18	21.95%
Less than 70%	6	7.32%

Syllabus coverage with proper sequencing Count

Less than 70%, 6, 7%
70% to 80%, 18, 22%
90% to 100%, 23, 28%
80% to 90%, 35, 43%

6 **Syllabus equipped with necessary tehnical skills to meet industrial challenges**

	Count	Percentage
Excellent	19	23.17%
Very Good	44	53.66%
Good	14	17.07%
Average	2	2.44%
Poor	3	3.66%

Syllabus equipped with necessary tehnical skills to meet industrial challenges

Average, 2, 2%
Poor, 3, 4%
Good, 14, 17%
Excellent, 19, 23%
Very Good, 44, 54%

7 Relevance of course contents in the program of study

	Count	Percentage
Excellent	15	18.29%
Good	46	56.10%
Average	13	15.85%
Poor	6	7.32%
Very Poor	2	2.44%

CoRelevance of course contents in the program of study

- Very Poor, 2, 3%
- Poor, 6, 7%
- Excellent, 15, 18%
- Average, 13, 16%
- Good, 46, 56%

8 Process of internal & External Assessment

	Count	Percentage
Excellent	21	25.61%
Good	37	45.12%
Satisfactory	19	23.17%
Poor	1	1.22%
Very Poor	4	4.88%

Process of internal & External Assessment

- Poor, 1, 1%
- Very Poor, 4, 5%
- Satisfactory, 19, 23%
- Excellent, 21, 26%
- Good, 37, 45%

9 Provision for self learning/project based learning

	Count	Percentage
Excellent	21	25.61%
Good	42	51.22%
Average	11	13.41%
Poor	5	6.10%
Very Poor	3	3.66%

Provision for self learning/project based learning

- Poor, 5, 6%
- Very Poor, 3, 4%
- Average, 11, 13%
- Excellent, 21, 26%
- Good, 42, 51%

10 If you have other comments to offer on the courses and suggestions for the teachers you may do so in the space given below

	Count	Percentage
good	1	9.09%
good	7	63.64%
goood	1	9.09%
very good	2	18.18%